

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

KEVIN J. BARRY (CABN 229748)
Assistant United States Attorney

NATALIE K. WIGHT (ORBN 35576)
Special Assistant United States Attorney
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-6840
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
Email: kevin.barry@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-0654-RS
Plaintiff,)
v.)
ROBERT YOUNG DAHLGREN,)
Defendant.)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME**

During the parties' appearance on September 10, 2010, the Court set October 5, 2010, as the next date for a further status, change of plea, or trial setting hearing. During that appearance, counsel requested that time between September 10, 2010, and October 5, 2010, be excluded from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for the purpose of effective preparation and continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and

11

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
CR 10-0654-RS

1 the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the September 10, 2010, hearing,
2 the Court made findings consistent with that agreement.

3

4 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

5

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

7 DATED: September 13, 2010

/S
KEVIN J. BARRY
Assistant United States Attorney

9 DATED: September 13, 2010

/S
PAUL WOLF
Attorney for Defendant, Robert Y. Dahlgren

12 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

13 For the reasons stated above and at the September 10, 2010 hearing, the Court finds that
14 exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 the period from
15 September 10, 2010, through October 7, 2010, is warranted and that the ends of justice served by
16 the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18
17 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). The failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would deny counsel
18 for the defendant and for the government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation,
19 taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18
20 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

21

IT IS SO ORDERED.

22

DATED: 9/15/10


THE HONORABLE JAMES LARSON
United States Magistrate Judge

23

24

25

26

27

28